



Henri Duong  
316 1/2 E Glendon way  
Alhambra, CA-91801

**“ Remarks ”**

January 21, 2008

Subject: Application no. 10/669,668 filing date 09/23/2003 Art Unit: 3628

U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Mr. Hayes,

I received your Advisory Action before the filing of an Appeal Brief of 01/08/2008 and attach the amended claims 4-6 as:

1st paragraph for written description: The claim description is rewritten to make it clear in its description to your instruction,

2nd paragraph being vague and indefinite: The claim is rewritten to define clearly the subject matter as my invention,

and 101 claiming non-statutory subject matter with no “real world” result: Applicant cites and explains that

1. Any new invention comes from a theory into practice, if the subject matter bears a “real world” result, it does not sound as a new invention or the invention was invented by the precedent inventor who put it into practice and got a visible “real world” result.

2. The well-known inventor; Newton, his invention is a basis of “gravity” recognized in the world, his invented theory has no direct profitable result of materials in return.

3. Certain splendid adventure has no “real world” result in material profit by return/money back as American space voyage program which costs billion dollar bill signifies advanced technology having no direct profitable result.

4. How can we protect an inventive idea/process without a recognizing document as patent to protect the “intellectual property right” against infringement of copying, using..? If the inventive products are put into practice in the market before a patent is granted, they bear no patent protection thus anyone will take advantage of imitation and using them for free.

I hereby state that claims 1-3 and 7-8 are cancelled.

Thank you for your appreciation.

Respectfully,

Henri Duong